

## Automorphism groups of subalgebras; a concrete characterization

JÁNOS KOLLÁR

FRIED and SICHLER [1] raise the following question: Let  $L$  be an algebraic lattice and let  $G_x$  be a group for every  $x \in L$ . Under what circumstances is there an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  with  $\text{Sub}(\mathfrak{A}) \cong L$  such that if  $\mathfrak{A}_x$  denotes the subalgebra corresponding to  $x$ , then  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{A}_x) \cong G_x$ . In this note we solve the concrete version of the problem (Theorem 1).

The proof uses the techniques developed by B. JÓNSSON [3], M. G. STONE [4], J. JEŽEK [2], L. SZABÓ [5]. A direct application of our result to the original problem yields a new proof of Theorem 1 in [1] (Corollary 2).

In the second part of this note we obtain a partial result on the representability of a small concrete category as a category of universal algebras with prescribed subalgebras.

1. Let  $A$  be a set,  $L$  a family of subsets of  $A$  and let a permutation group  $G_R$ , acting on  $R$ , be assigned to each  $R \in L$ . The elements of  $G_R$  may then be regarded as partial mappings of  $A$ . Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be two partial mappings of  $A$  (into itself). Then we can define their product  $\psi\varphi$ , where  $(\psi\varphi)a$  is defined iff  $a \in \text{Dom } \varphi$ ,  $\varphi a \in \text{Dom } \psi$  and in that case  $(\psi\varphi)a = \psi(\varphi a)$ . So, all the partial mappings of  $A$ , including the empty mapping  $\emptyset \rightarrow \emptyset$  form a semigroup. Let  $\bar{G}$  denote its subsemigroup, generated by  $\{G_R : R \in L\}$ .

We shall call  $G_R$  locally  $\bar{G}$  closed if the following condition holds: For every permutation  $h$  of  $R$ , if for every finite subset  $X$  of  $R$  there exists a member of  $\bar{G}$  that agrees with  $h$  on  $X$ , then  $h \in G_R$ .

For  $\varphi: A \rightarrow A$  a partial injection (thus  $x \neq y$  implies  $\varphi x \neq \varphi y$  provided  $x, y \in \text{Dom } \varphi$ ),  $\varphi^{-1}$  can be defined in a natural way.

With the above notation, we have

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $A$  be a set,  $L$  a family of subsets of  $A$ , and let a permutation group  $G_R$ , acting on  $R$ , be assigned to each  $R \in L$ . A universal algebra  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, F \rangle$  with precisely the elements of  $L$  for its subuniverses, and with precisely the elements of  $G_R$  for the automorphism of  $R \in L$ , exists if and only if*

- (i)  $L$  is an algebraic closure system on  $A$ ,
- (ii)  $G_R$  is locally  $\bar{G}$ -closed for any  $R \in L$ ,
- (iii)  $\sigma R \in L$  for any  $\sigma \in \bar{G}$  and  $R \in L$ ,
- (iv)  $\sigma|X = \tau|X$  implies  $\sigma|\langle X \rangle = \tau|\langle X \rangle$  provided  $\sigma, \tau \in \bar{G}$  and  $X \subset A$  is finite.

(Here  $\langle X \rangle$  denotes the closure of  $X$  with respect to  $L$ .)

**Proof.** The conditions listed are necessary, since the elements of  $\bar{G}$  are isomorphisms between certain subalgebras of  $A$ .

To prove sufficiency, let  $Y$  denote the set of the one-to-one sequences of finite length composed of the elements of  $A$ .

For any  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in Y$ ,  $a \in \langle y \rangle$  let us define an  $n$ -ary operation  $f_{(y,a)}$  on  $A$  by

$$f_{(y,a)}(w_1, \dots, w_n) = \begin{cases} \sigma a & \text{if } \exists \sigma \in \bar{G}, w = \sigma y \\ w_1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(Note, in particular, that  $f_{(y,a)}(y) = a$  ( $y \in A^n$ ,  $a \in A$ ).

The definition makes sense owing to condition (iv) ( $\sigma_1 y = \sigma_2 y$  implies  $\sigma_1 a = \sigma_2 a$ ). In this way we have constructed a universal algebra  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, f_{(y,a)} : y \in Y, a \in \langle y \rangle \rangle$ . We assert that  $\mathfrak{A}$  complies with the requirements.

Let  $R \in L$ ;  $w_1, \dots, w_n \in R$  and let us consider  $f_{(y,a)}(w)$ . If the second situation obtains, then  $f_{(y,a)}(w) \in R$ . Let therefore  $w = \sigma y$ . Then  $\sigma^{-1} w = y$ , whence  $\langle w \rangle = \sigma \langle y \rangle$ ; but since  $a \in \langle y \rangle$ , we have  $\sigma a \in \langle w \rangle \subset R$ . Hence  $R \in \text{Sub}(\mathfrak{A})$ .

Conversely let  $Q \in \text{Sub}(\mathfrak{A})$ , and let  $a \in \langle Q \rangle$ . In that case there exist some  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Q$  such that  $a \in \langle y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle$ . But then  $f_{(y,a)}(y) = a \in Q$ . Consequently  $Q = \langle Q \rangle$ , implying that the subuniverses are precisely the elements of  $L$ .

Given  $\tau \in \bar{G}$  and  $w \in (\text{dom } \tau)^n$ , we assert that  $f_{(y,a)}(\tau w) = \tau f_{(y,a)}(w)$ . For, let  $w = \sigma y$ . Then  $\tau w = \tau \sigma y$ , whence  $f_{(y,a)}(\tau w) = \tau \sigma a = \tau f_{(y,a)}(w)$ . If  $\tau w = \sigma y$ , then  $\tau w = \sigma y = \tau(\tau^{-1} \sigma) y$ , whence  $w = \tau^{-1} \sigma y$ , implying  $f_{(y,a)}(\tau w) = \tau(\tau^{-1} \sigma) a = \tau f_{(y,a)}(w)$ . In particular, the elements of the  $G_R$ -s are automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{A}|R$ .

If  $\varphi \notin G_R$ , then by (ii) there exist  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in R$  such that  $\varphi y \neq \sigma y$  for any  $\sigma \in \bar{G}$ , and we may assume that  $n \geq 2$ . But then  $\varphi f_{(y,y_2)}(y) = \varphi y_2$ ;  $f_{(y,y_2)}(\varphi y) = \varphi y_1$ , since, however,  $y_1 \neq y_2$ , also  $\varphi y_2 \neq \varphi y_1$ , whence  $\varphi \notin \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{A}|R)$  completing the proof.

**2.** Now we derive some corollaries of Theorem 1. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a universal algebra,  $L = \text{Sub}(\mathfrak{A})$ ,  $G_x = \text{Aut}(x) : x \in L$ ,  $L_x = \{y \in L : y \subseteq x\}$ . Then there exist natural homomorphisms  $\Phi_x : G_x \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L_x)$ .

Let  $H_x = \text{Ker } \Phi_x$ . Let us first prove the following statement.

**Corollary 1.** *Given an algebra  $\langle A, F \rangle$  there exists an algebra  $\langle A, G \rangle$  such that  $\text{Sub } \langle A, G \rangle = \text{Sub } \langle A, F \rangle$  and  $\text{Aut}_G(x) = H_x$  for any  $x \in \text{Sub } \langle A, G \rangle$ .*

**Proof.** Of the conditions listed under Theorem 1, (i) clearly holds for the system  $(L, H_x: x \in L)$ ; and so do (iii) and (iv), since  $\bar{H} \subseteq \bar{G}$ . If the permutation  $\varphi: x \rightarrow x$  coincides on any finite set with a permutation in  $\bar{H}$ , then it coincides with a permutation in  $\bar{G}$ , whence  $\varphi \in G_x$ . On the other hand, the elements of  $\bar{H}$  leave any subuniverse in place. We conclude that  $\varphi$  belongs to  $H_x$ : hence, (ii) also holds, which completes the proof.

If now  $\varphi \in H_x$ , and  $y \subseteq x$ . Then  $\varphi|_y \in H_y$ , and we get a homomorphism  $r_{xy}: H_x \rightarrow H_y$ . Let  $\Sigma = (L, (K_x: x \in L), (P_{xy}: x, y \in L, x \cong y))$ ; where  $L$  is an algebraic lattice,  $K_x$  a group for each  $x \in L$ , and  $P_{xy}$  a homomorphism of  $K_x$  into  $K_y$  ( $x, y \in L$ ).

We say that  $\Sigma$  is representable if there exists an algebra  $\mathfrak{U}$  such that  $\text{Sub } (\mathfrak{U}) \cong L$ ,  $K_x \cong H_x = G_x$  for each  $x \in L$ , each  $P_{xy}$  represents the restriction homomorphism  $r_{xy}$ .

Now we can prove the following

**Corollary 2.** (FRIED—SICHLER [1])  $\Sigma$  is representable iff

- (v)  $P_{yx} \cdot P_{zy} = P_{zx}$  for all  $x \cong y \cong z$ ,
- (vi)  $\text{Ker } P_{xy} \cap \text{Ker } P_{xz}$  is trivial whenever  $x = y \cup z$ ,
- (vii) if  $x \in L$  is not compact then  $K_x$  is the inverse limit of the diagram  $(P_{cd}: x > c \cong d)$  with the limit homomorphisms  $P_{xc}$  ( $c < x$ ),
- (viii)  $K_0 = 1$ .

**Proof.** The necessity of the conditions can be easily checked (cf. [1]). For the sufficiency we use the construction given in [1]. The correctness of the construction will easily follow from our Theorem 1.

Let  $C = \{c \in L: c \text{ compact}\}$ .  $A = \{(c, \alpha): c \in C, \alpha \in K_c\}$ .  $A_x = \{(c, \alpha): c \leq x, \alpha \in K_c\}$ .  $\bar{L} = \{A_x: x \in L\}$ . Then  $\bar{L}$  is an algebraic closure system, and regarded as a lattice it is isomorphic with  $L$ .

For any  $\varphi \in K_x$  define  $T_\varphi: A_x \rightarrow A_x$  by  $T_\varphi(c, \alpha) = (c, (P_{xc}\varphi)\alpha)$ .  $G_x = \{T_\varphi: \varphi \in K_x\}$ . Now  $G_x \cong K_x$ , and the elements of  $G_x$  leave the subuniverses in place, whence  $G_x = H_x$ .

Because of  $G_x = H_x$  we have  $\bar{G} = \bigcup G_x$ , so (iii) holds. Now if  $X$  is a finite subset of  $A$  and  $\sigma, \tau \in \bar{G}$  and  $\sigma|_X = \tau|_X$  then for all  $x \in X$   $\sigma|_{\langle x \rangle} = \tau|_{\langle x \rangle}$  by the definition of  $T_\varphi$ , hence by (vi) we also have  $\sigma|_{\langle X \rangle} = \tau|_{\langle X \rangle}$ . If now  $A_x \in \bar{L}$ ,  $\psi: A_x \rightarrow A_x$  and  $\psi$  coincides on any finite subset of  $A_x$  with an element of  $\bar{G}$ , then clearly we have  $\psi(c, \alpha) = (c, \psi_c(\alpha))$  for some permutation  $\psi_c$  of  $K_c$ , since  $T_\varphi$  maps  $K_c$  into itself for all  $\varphi$  and  $c$ . Now considering our condition for the two element set  $\{(c, 1), (c, \alpha)\}$  we have  $\psi(c, 1) = T_\varphi(c, 1)$ ,  $\psi(c, \alpha) = T_\varphi(c, \alpha)$  for some  $T_\varphi$  and we have  $\psi(c, \alpha) = (c, \psi_c(1)\alpha)$ . If we consider  $\{(c, 1), (d, 1)\}$  for any pair  $c \leq d$  then

we have  $\psi_c(1) = P_{dc}\psi_d(1)$ , hence if  $A_y \subset A_x$  and  $A_y$  is finitely generated then  $\psi_y(1)$  determines uniquely  $\psi|_{A_y}$  hence  $\psi|_{A_y} = T_\varphi|_{A_y}$  for some  $T_\varphi \in G_y$ . Applying (vii) on the system of the  $T_\varphi$ -s (as  $A_y$  runs over the set of finitely generated subalgebras of  $A_x$ ) we get that  $\psi = T_\sigma$  for some  $\sigma \in G_x$ . Hence Theorem 1 can be applied to prove corollary 2.

3. In [4] STONE considered the subalgebras and the automorphisms of subalgebras, in [5] SZABÓ (Theorem 1) the subalgebras and the isomorphisms between subalgebras, and in [2] JEŽEK (Theorem 2) considered a small category of algebras and the injective morphism. We are going to derive a common generalization of these results.

Let  $K$  be a small subcategory of SETS. The elements of  $\text{Mor } K$  can be considered as partial mapping of  $\bigcup \text{Ob } K$  (we consider this union to be disjoint). Let  $\bar{S}$  denote the semigroup generated by the injective elements of  $\text{Mor } K$  and their inverses. With this notation we have

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $K$  be a small subcategory of SETS such that if  $\alpha \in \text{Mor } K$ , then  $\alpha$  is either injective or a mapping onto a single point. For each  $A \in \text{Ob } K$ , let  $L(A)$  be a family of subsets of  $A$ . There exists a set  $F$  of operation symbols and universal algebras  $\langle A, F \rangle$  to each  $A \in \text{Ob } K$ , such that  $\text{Sub } \langle A, F \rangle = L(A)$  and  $\text{Hom}(\langle A, F \rangle, \langle B, F \rangle) = \text{Mor}(A, B)$  iff*

- (ix)  $L(A)$  is an algebraic closure system for every  $A \in \text{Ob } K$ ,
- (x)  $R \in L(A)$ ,  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B \in \bar{S}$ ,  $R \subset \text{Dom } \varphi$  implies  $\varphi R \in L(B)$ ,
- (xi)  $\text{Mor}(A, B)$  is locally  $\bar{S}$  closed,
- (xii) if  $R \in L(A)$ ,  $|R|=1$ ,  $B \in \text{Ob } K$  then  $\varphi_{B,R}: B \rightarrow R \in \text{Mor}(B, A)$ ,
- (xiii) if  $\sigma, \tau \in \bar{S}$ ,  $X \subset A$  is finite then  $\sigma|X = \tau|X$  implies  $\sigma\langle X \rangle = \tau\langle X \rangle$  where  $\langle X \rangle$  denotes the closure of  $X$  with respect to  $L(A)$ .

**Proof.** Necessity of (ix), (x) and (xii) is obvious. The elements of  $\bar{S}$  are compatible with the operations, therefore (xi) and (xiii) are also necessary.

In order to prove the sufficiency let  $Y(A)$  denote the set of one-to-one sequences formed from the elements of  $A$  and  $Y = \bigcup \{Y(A): A \in \text{Ob } K\}$ . For each  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in Y$ ,  $a \in \langle y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle$ , we define an  $n$ -ary operation  $f_{(y,a)}$ : for  $B \in \text{Ob } K$ ,  $w_1, \dots, w_n \in B$  set

$$f_{(y,a)}(w_1, \dots, w_n) = \begin{cases} \sigma a & \text{if there exists a } \sigma \in \bar{S} \text{ such that } w = \sigma y \\ w_1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This definition makes sense owing to condition (xiii). Having endowed each  $A \in \text{Ob } K$  with this set  $F = \{f_{(y,a)}\}$  of operations, we assert that the resulting set  $\{\langle A, F \rangle: A \in \text{Ob } K\}$  of universal algebras complies with our requirements.

As in Theorem 1, we can deduce that  $\text{Sub } \langle A, F \rangle = L(A)$ , and  $\text{Mor } (A, B) \subseteq \subseteq \text{Hom } (\langle A, F \rangle, \langle B, F \rangle)$ . Therefore it suffices to prove that  $\text{Hom } (\langle A, B \rangle, \langle B, F \rangle) \subseteq \subseteq \text{Mor } (A, B)$ .

Let  $\varphi \in \text{Hom } (\langle A, F \rangle, \langle B, F \rangle)$ . If  $|\text{Im } \varphi| = 1$  then  $\varphi \in \text{Mor } (A, B)$  because of (xii).

If  $\varphi$  is injective, and  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in A$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), then  $\varphi f_{(y, y_2)}(y) = \varphi y_2$ , hence  $f_{(y, y_2)}(\varphi y) = \varphi y_2$ , but  $\varphi y_1 \neq \varphi y_2$  and therefore  $\varphi y = \sigma y$  for some  $\sigma \in \bar{S}$  and we conclude from (xi) that  $\varphi \in \text{Mor } (A, B)$ .

If  $\varphi$  is neither injective nor a mapping onto a single point, then there exist  $y_1, y_2, y_3 \in A$  such that  $\varphi y_1 \neq \varphi y_2 = \varphi y_3$ . But then  $\varphi y \neq \sigma y$  any  $\sigma \in \bar{S}$ , for the elements of  $\bar{S}$  are injective and hence  $\varphi f_{(y, y_2)}(y) = \varphi y_2 \neq \varphi y_1 = f_{(y, y_2)}(\varphi y)$ . Hence  $\varphi \notin \text{Hom } (\langle A, F \rangle, \langle B, F \rangle)$ , completing the proof.

*Acknowledgement.* My thanks are due to the participants of the Algebra Seminar at Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, in particular to L. Babai and E. Fried, for their helpful comments.

### References

- [1] E. FRIED—J. SICHLER, On automorphism groups of subalgebras of a universal algebra, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **40** (1978), 265—270.
- [2] J. JEŽEK, Realization of small concrete categories by algebras and injective homomorphisms, *Coll. Math.*, **29** (1974), 61—69.
- [3] B. JÓNSSON, Algebraic structures with prescribed automorphism group, *Coll. Math.*, **19** (1968), 1—4.
- [4] M. G. STONE, Subalgebra and automorphism structure in universal algebras, a concrete characterization, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **33** (1972), 45—48.
- [5] L. SZABÓ, Characterization of some related semigroups of universal algebras, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **37** (1975), 143—148.

DEPT. OF ALGEBRA AND NUMBER THEORY  
EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY  
1088 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY